

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/371,697

Applicant(s)

Simon et al.

Examiner

Tongoc Tran

Group Art Unit

2761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tongoc Tran *ERC*

(3) Representative Chernoff *MBE*

(2) Examiner Cosimano

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 27, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Representative Chernoff propose two new claims for consideration:

It was argued that the prior art, Rosler (5,969,633), did not compute a reference code based on payment of deadline for a loan agreement of a motor vehical wherein a system not directly causing a spark will be disabled if an additional code is not detected prior to the payment due deadline.

This system is unlike the prior art which appears to require the use of an additional code for each use of the protected system. An updated search will be conducted and applicant contacted before another Office Action will be issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.